



PilotSTRATEGY project – CO₂ Geological Storage Pilots in Strategic Territories

Final Event

Isaline Gravaud (BRGM) – Project coordinator

Brussels, April 21st, 2026



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664

www.pilotstrategy.eu | 1

Agenda

Tuesday 21 April	Consejo Superior De Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC) / Office of the Spanish Research Council	09:00 - 09:15	Welcome coffee	
		09:15 - 09:30	Welcome - General project overview	Isaline Gravaud (BRGM)
		09:30 - 11:30 Morning session 1	Project impact and way forward in PilotSTRATEGY regions (Ebro Basin, Lusitanian Basin, Paris Basin, West Macedonia, Upper Silesia)	Chair: Richard Stevenson (SCCS/UEDIN)
		11:30 - 11:45	Break	
		11:45 - 12:45 Morning session 2	Perspectives from other regions & projects Prinos CO2 Storage Project, Greece ANRAV-CCUS, Bulgaria	Chair: Pavlos Tyrologou (CERTH)
	12:45 - 13:30	Lunch		
	European Parliament	14:30 - 16:30 Afternoon session	PilotSTRATEGY session in European Parliament - Welcome by host MEP Paulo Cunha - PilotSTRATEGY outcomes and impacts - Panel session: <i>The way forward for CCS in Southern and Eastern Europe</i> - Closing remarks	Host: Paulo Cunha MEP Project speakers: Isaline Gravaud (BRGM) Paula Canteli (IGME-CSIC) Júlio Carneiro (University of Évora) Panel speakers: Chair: Aymeric Amand, ZEP Toby Lockwood, Clean Air Task Force Elisabeth Dütschke, Fraunhofer ISI Zsuzsanna Szeles, European Commission/DG ENER Koen Coppenholle, Cement Europe



General framing

- Support development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) in **Southern and Eastern Europe**
- Investigate **deep saline aquifers**: large capacity for storing CO₂.
- **Preparing the ground** for full and active development into operational storage sites.



The five-year PilotSTRATEGY project, which commenced in 2021, has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement n° 101022664.



PilotSTRATEGY



PilotSTRATEGY (H2020 RIA)

- 5 regions studied
- 16 partners (+ 3 FR third parties) from 7 countries
- 5 years from May 2021 to April 2026




Objectives

- **Increasing the maturity and readiness** assessment of aquifer storage resources in five European promising regions.
- **Detailed study** for CO₂ geological storage pilot sites in selected areas of interest.
- Identifying and engaging relevant **end users and societal stakeholders** for the implementation of new CO₂ storage pilots.
- Propose **development plans** for the CO₂ storage pilots.




Multi-criteria approach



- Detailed study of **deep saline aquifers** for CO₂ geological storage pilot sites
- Study of **social acceptance** through stakeholders and citizens engagement
- **Class IV Economic evaluation of CCS concept** for storage sites in FR, PT and ES
- **Development proposal** for the 5 pilots (**pre-investment proposal** for the 3 pilots in FR, PT and ES)



Project workflow



May 2021

Geo-characterisation and geological model

Static modelling of the reservoir complex

Dynamic simulation with CO₂ injection

Risk assessment

Pilot concept and development plans

April 2026

Social acceptance and community engagement

- Policy context
- Community profiles in the regions
- Stakeholder engagement
- Citizen engagement





Acknowledgements



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664





Thank you for listening

Isaline Gravaud
i.gravaud@brgm.fr

info@pilotstrategy.eu

[@pilotstrategy](https://twitter.com/pilotstrategy)

www.pilotstrategy.eu



PARIS BASIN REGION, FRANCE

Short technical overview & way forward


Final Event – 21st & 22nd April 2026 – Brussel Belgium



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664

PilotSTRATEGY Project – French Region

What was the specific objectives for the French team ?



Presentation organisation

1 Site presentation

2 Storage site specificities


3 Concept of the Pilot

4 Economical assessment

5 Stakeholder engagement

6 Project dissemination

Site presentation – The Nangis Area



Location map of the Area of Interest with principal emitters (>300ktCO₂)



Lat-Nitrogen Fertilizer plant

- **Geological target– Dogger reservoir**
 - Historical reservoir for O&G and Geothermal
 - Saline Aquifer in carbonate reservoir
- **Emitter**
 - Fertilizer plant with 300 kt/y CO₂ captured
- **Available data**
 - Strongly prospected area in the past (O&G)
 - New seismic data (3D)
- **Preliminary CO₂ storage capacity estimation**
 - Sufficient estimation for a pilot test



Site presentation – Historical dataset and New Data

Well log data: ●

- 47 wells – oil & gas exploration and production (70' & 80')
- Full set of wireline logs (GR, Rhob / Nphi, SP, DT, CAL, ILM, ILD...)

Cores: ▲


- 477 meters divided onto 12 wells
- Dalle Nacrée / Comblanchien / top Oolithe Blanche Fmt.
- High frequency sequence stratigraphy study

Thin section ●

- Mostly samples from topmost reservoir
- 240 thin-sections divided onto 12 wells

Plug

- Mostly from topmost reservoir interval
- K/Phi measures – 470 plugs sur X forages




Data distribution in the Area of Interest (red square)

=> **New 3D seismic data**


Site presentation - Newly acquired 3D seismic data



Vibrateur Mertz 27t during acquisition



Sensors map location



Processed 3D seismic data



- **Involvement of the public and public authorities**
 - Meetings with various partners (Local, regional, governmental authorities, Chamber of Agriculture)
 - Public meetings with scientific presentation and showcase
 - Specific test onto old drains spread in the area




PilotSTRATEGY – French Region

Site presentation – The Paris Basin

The Dogger Reservoir Complex



Geological map of the Paris Basin - BRGM




Geological section of the Paris Basin - AGBP

PilotSTRATEGY – French Region

Storage site – Dogger Reservoir Complex

Sequence stratigraphy framework of Reservoir Complex




Reservoir complex geological section

Reservoir :


- Oolitic carbonate reservoir
- Depth : 1680 to 1767m SSTVD
- Thickness : 160 – 240m
- Temp. : 60°C / Pressure : 185 bar

Caprock :


- Caprock 1 – 120m thick marly interval
- Caprock 2 – 250m thick tight carbonate



Interpreted seismic line (Inline – 165)



Bahamas oolitic bank



Depth map of Reservoir top – Ca26

Storage site – Complex modelling for injection assessment

Two specific scenarios modelled :

- Pilot case => 100kt - 4months (rate = 300kt/year)
- Commercial case => 300kt/year – 30years

Pilot case after 1 year :

Plume extension : (xyz) 560m - 620m - 95m

Overpressure >0.2 bar : (xyz) 4470m - 440m - 462m

CO₂ trapping: **Dissolved CO₂ / Structural trap**

Commercial case after 1000 year :

Plume extension : (xyz) 3661m - 4870m - 132m,

Overpressure* >1 bar : (xyz) 2429m - 2729m - 140m


CO₂ trapping: **Dissolved CO₂ / Residual / Structural**

**end of the injection – pression dissipates ~20 years*




The mineral trapping contribution has not been quantified in these results

Pilot case – CO₂ plume extension after 10 years




Commercial case – CO₂ plume extension after 1000 years



Storage site – Risk assesment


Ris assesment undertake onto two scenarios (Pilot / Commercial):

- Risk identification and assesment
- Quantitative analyses or Expert based analyses
- Quantitative : 3000 simulations onto commercial case / 2016 simulation for Pilot case
- Pilot Case => No major risk identified => *Let's Go*
- Commercial case => Possible risk regarding legacy well ?
- Storage capacity :
 - P10 : 2.9 MtCO₂
 - P50 : 8.9 MtCO₂
 - P90 : 9.0 MtCO₂



Risk assesment regarding storage capacity

Risk category	Quantitative analysis
Safety risks	



Delays and costs	NO
Politics / Legal	NO
« Social »	NO



Concept of the Pilot – Proposed MMV

MMV plan based on actual reglementation and potential commercial development :

- legend
- Periodic monitoring
 - Continuous monitoring

Surface MMV:

- Soil monitoring (gas/pH/salinity)
 - Tilt meter
 - Passive seismic fiber optics
 - INSAR
 - Superficial aquifer monitoring
- Baseline + final + post-injection

Storage Complex MMV:

- Water quality sampling
- Baseline + final + post-injection
- Microseismics

Storage MMV:


- DAS VSP
 - Spotlight
- Baseline + 1 repeat/month + post-injection

Well head MMV:

- CO₂ flowrate
 - Pressure
 - Annular pressure
 - Isotopes
- Baseline + final

In well MMV:

- CBL/USIT
 - Permanent downhole P&T gauges
 - Multi-component fiber optic (DAS/DTS/DSS)
 - Density/saturation cased-hole logging
 - Caliper
- Baseline + final



PilotSTRATEGY – French Region

Concept of the Pilot – Selected scenario

Objective of the selected scenario :


- Test **technical feasibility**, **safety**, and **environmental compliance** for a hypothetical **large-scale CCS** deployment.
- **CO₂ pilot** injection is **limited to 100 kt** according to article R229-61 of the **French environmental code**.
- Require **approval from the French regulatory authorities** under the Environmental and Mining codes **through an Exploration permit (PER)**.

Four potential scenario regarding **two parameters** :


=> **Source of the CO₂**

=> **Well placement scenarios**

Representation of the 4 different potential scenarios for the Pilot case



Location of potential sources and wellsites



PilotSTRATEGY – French Region

Economical assesment

A probabilistic class IV cost assessment :

Main Drivers for **Pilot scale project:**

- **Local CO₂ vs. External CO₂**
- **Onsite well vs offsite well**
- **Storage VS Complex storage MMV**

Main drivers for **Commercial scale project :**

- **Investment regarding MMV plan** (deep monitoring well + one well in the first aquifer above caprock)
- Add on with DAS-VSP and soil & water sampling, Micro-seismic monitoring and INSAR



Scenario	Offsite total cost (M€ ₂₀₂₅)			Onsite total cost (M€ ₂₀₂₅)		
	P10	P50	P90	P10	P50	P90
Local CO ₂	64	74	85	67	76	88
External CO ₂	41	45	50	45	49	53



Recent evolution of the industrial activity & local stakeholders discussion

=> the onsite well with external CO₂ is an interesting alternative

Investment cost regarding the commercial case

Offsite total cost (M€ ₂₀₂₅)			Onsite total cost (M€ ₂₀₂₅)		
P10	P50	P90	P10	P50	P90
55	59	63	57	61	66

Citizen & Stakeholder engagement

Open Doors meeting – Grandpuits



Public **Open Doors**

- 1 per year (3 to date)
- Adapted to public, current research status, feedback from Stakeholders Committee

Regional Stakeholder

Committee meetings
(Groupe de réflexion 'Adaptations & Territoire')

- 1 per year (18/11)
- Specific discussion of technical subjects, concerns and perceptions

Main features from social engagement work:

- **Adaptation** by each player (Science team / stakeholders / population) – Important **reciprocity**
- **Interdisciplinary** work – many exchanges between scientists to communicate the research relevant to stakeholder inquiries
- **Social recognition** and **pride** are two key reactions to follow up
- **Modification of the acceptability** regarding Fertilizer plant evolution



Communication / Dissemination / Impact

Communication / Dissemination :

Interview "Un potentiel de stockage" : c'est quoi ce projet d'enfouissement de CO2 dans le sol de la Seine-et-Marne ?

Coordinateur du projet PilotStrategy, le Bureau de recherches géologiques et minières détaille les études de faisabilité d'un stockage de CO2 dans le sol de la Seine-et-Marne.

Environnement Pollution

Article réservé aux abonnés

S'abonner



En Seine-et-Marne, la présence de l'usine LAT Nitrogen (ex Borealis), longtemps gros producteur de CO2, est un des critères expliquant que le site de Grandpuits ait été retenu dans le cadre d'un projet de recherche visant à évaluer la possibilité de stockage du dioxyde de carbone dans le sol @LAT Nitrogen

Communication Regional / National
Press



Public conference in Grandpuits



National / European / international
scientific congress

Project impact onto the French territory

Industrial impact :

- Interest from Industrial (Emitter and storage company) onto the project
- PER request from C-Questra start-up

Industrial impact :

- Project integrated in the decarbonation strategy for the French territory
- Partners mobilized by Government for storage capacity estimation on the national territory

Research impact :

- Special publication (IJGGC)
- Induced national (PR3DICT, EXPLOR CO2) and European (Investment Atlas) research project



General conclusion

Who is the French Team ?



Aurélien Bordenave
Isaline Gravaud
Fernanda De Mesquita
Thomas Le Guenan
Sabrine Ben Rhouma
Romain Chassagne
Adina Creugny
Rowena Stead
Frederic Mathurin
Sylvain Stephan
Julie Maury
Arnold Blaisonneau
Theophile Guillon
Nicolas Gilardi
Alexandre Stopin
Benoit Issautier
Michael Delatre
Claire Le Romancer



Sarah Bouquet
Audrey Estublier
Alina-Berencie Christ
Luca Mattioni
Alexandre Fornel
Marc Fleury
Damien Bonte
Jeremy Frey
Axelle Baroni
Axelle Alavoine
Clémentine Meiller



Yann Le Gallo
Hubert Jannel
Romain Bocquet
Fabio Coutand
Stéphanie Moreau



Claire Mays
Marc Poumadère



Julien Wallendorf
Patrick Robert



Emmanuelle Robins
Nicolas Gonthier



Marine Di Matteo
Aloys Baudesson
Chaker Raddadi
Sophie Palu

⇒ **Over 35 persons participate to the project**

Many thanks to them for their contribution



Guillaume Tarnaud





Acknowledgements



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664



Ebro Basin Region, Spain


Project impact and way forward in PilotSTRATEGY regions

Paula Canteli (IGME-CSIC)


21st April 2026, Brussels



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664



Ebro Basin Region,
NE of Spain
Campo de Belchite, Zaragoza




Ebro Basin Region,
NE of Spain
Campo de Belchite, Zaragoza

Ebro Basin Region description

- Onshore development
- 1600 md, sandstone
- Horst and graben system
- Possible compartmentalization
- Primary seal, secondary (regional) seal
- Focus on STORAGE operation from CCS chain
- Full life cycle evaluation: exploration, **pilot**, commercial operation and abandonment
- Walk the path and documented for future investors




Development concept definition



	x	p
1	2,00	0,00
2	6,00	0,25
3	14,92	0,75
4	24,00	0,95
5	35,00	1,00

Lopin estimated capacity

CASE	Estimated capacity (Mt)	Injector wells (units)	Well Injection rate pilot (3 years)	Well Injection rate commercial
Case 1	[2, 7)	1	0.03 MTPA	0.25 MTPA
Case 2	[7,15)	2	0.03 MTPA	0.25 MTPA
Case 3	[15, 35]	2	0.03 MTPA	0.50 MTPA



Development concept

Cases 1	exploration	monitoring	Injector wells	Injection rate	Deterministic case
Between 2 and 7 MM tonne (WP3 case)	2D seismic + 1 exploration well	Baseline + 1 Injector sensors+ 1 water well + microsismicity+in SAR+ CO2 soil	1	0,03 Mt/year @ 3 years; 0,25 Mt/year thereafter.	5 MMtonnes Facilities CAPEX. 7 MM€; OPEX, 3.5 MM€; Abandon: 5,6 M€ Baseline: 0,48 MM€
Cases 2	exploration	monitoring	Injector wells	Injection rate (per well)	Deterministic case
Between 7 and 15 MM tonne (WP3 case)	2D seismic + 1 exploration well	Baseline+Injector sensors+ 2 water well + microsistisity+in SAR+CO2 soil	2	0,03 Mt/year @ 3 years; 0,25 Mt/year thereafter.	14,9 MMtonnes Facilities CAPEX. 17 MM€; OPEX, 4 MM€; Abandon: 7,5 M€ Baseline: 0,68 MM€
Cases 3	exploration	monitoring	Injector wells	Injection rate (per well)	Deterministic case
Between 15 and 35 MM tonne (no compartmentalization)	2D seismic + 1 exploration well	Baseline+ Injector sensors+ 2 water well + microsistisity+in SAR+ CO2 soil	2	0,03 Mt/year @ 3 years; 0,5 Mt/year thereafter.	25 MMtonnes Facilities CAPEX. 20 MM€; OPEX, 5 MM€; Abandon: 8,5 M€ Baseline: 0,8 MM€



MMV Plan

Baseline Definition

- 3D seismic
- Groundwater, soil, air
- Natural seismicity

Pressure Management

- Fiber-optic monitoring
- Continuous pressure control

Induced Seismicity Monitoring

- Fiber optics
- Downhole geophones
- Surface seismometers

Well Integrity

- Operational integrity checks
- Integrity log pre-abandonment


CO₂ Plume Monitoring

- Fiber-optic VSP (< ~2 km)
- Surface 4D seismic (if required)

Environmental Monitoring


- Groundwater, soil & air
- Satellite InSAR

Start: 2027
Injection: 2034- 2037
Max injection: 2040-2042
Abandonment: 2055-2073




Multicriteria Risk identification

Before Response Risk Map



After Response Risk Map




Risk matrix following Repsol methodology before and after response

T35: Unstable political support and regulatory uncertainty

T13: Unexpected compartmentalization



Economic assessment: Deterministic approach



i: 2.2%; 9%; BP, HP, LP; 2025; Class IV costs
 ETS Market@2030: 75 to 200 €/tonne injected
 Discounted cashflow model
 From Exploration to abandonment


Case	CAPEX (Million€)	OPEX (Million€)	Max Cash out (Million€)	Breakeven (€/tonne)
5 Mt	65	135	-37	73
14,9 Mt	99	275	-49	42
25 Mt	105	280	-64	27






Probabilistic Economic assessment

Probabilistic case studied	Pg	Pe
Success case	1	1
Geological success impact	0.6	1
Geological and pilot success impact	0.54	0.46

Pg: Chance of geological success; Pe: Chance of exploitation success



Impact on NPV of 1 year permit delay
10.000 MC simulations, PetroVR



SWOT ANALYSIS

Strengths

- Uniform, well-known regional geology
- Proven storage; regional caprock
- Very low natural seismicity
- Sparsely populated rural area
- Regional govt with CO2 storage experience
- Receptive local stakeholders

Weaknesses

- Few and old subsurface data
- No seismic survey covering the area
- Possible compartmentalization
- Uncertainty about CO2 source and purchase cost for the pilot

Opportunities

- Develop local industry
- Direct Air Capture potential (renewable energy)
- Community co-design model
- Proximity to regional industrial area

Threats

- Exploration license application time
- Uncertainty in national CCS policy support
- Uncertain access to EU/national funds for the pilot

Way forward

- Main impact definition of compartmentalization: exploration campaign.
- Social acceptance: local stakeholders show conditional openness; contingent on trust, transparent information, and tangible local benefits. Sustained engagement required from exploration through commercial phase.
- No barriers on regulation at regional level (exploration) – no clear for national permit (commercial operations).
- High value on the high side of capacity estimation: strong case if it is verified.
- Potential for local development: DACs to be checked.



PilotSTRATEGY



Thank you for listening!

Peñas Royas,
Teruel.

Proyecto
PilotSTRATEG
Y

info@pilotstrategy.eu

[@pilotstrategy](https://twitter.com/pilotstrategy)

www.pilotstrategy.eu



Lusitanian Basin, Portugal

A pilot to enable industrial-scale CCS




Site location: offshore for scalability

Offshore enables the scale Portugal needs for CO₂ storage.

Prospect Q4-TV1


- Excellent reservoir quality
- Low active seismicity
- Conditions for safe storage
- Dynamic storage capacity > 93 Mt CO₂
- Around 20 km from Figueira da Foz Port



Storage complex

- Water Column Depth*: 85m
- Reservoir Top Depth*: 860m
- Reservoir Bottom Depth*: 1200m
- Reservoir Thickness*: 300m
- Petrophysical Properties*:
Reservoir: $\phi = 22\%$ | $k = 229\text{mD}$

*Average Value



Onshore analogues

Sítio da Nazaré



Praia del Rei



Pilot concept - maximise flexibility



STRATEGY

- Avoid permanent or non-reusable equipment in the commercial phase
- Integration with pilot capture at Souselas cement factory




TRANSPORT SOLUTION

- Intermodal transport
- Cryogenic containers by rail and ship
- Injection directly from the ship




STORAGE SOLUTION

- Test the offshore storage complex
- **Injection up 100 kt/CO₂ (DL 60/2012)**
- Minimize interference with other socio-economic activities




Transport and injection by ship



Injection period 12-15 months
<100 kton CO₂

Intermodal transport



Liquefaction



Cryogenic containers, 23.5 ton CO₂
(P=15bar, T=-29°C)




Train,
~650 ton CO₂

Port handling



Injection well design



- **Single well for Pilot Phase**
- No monitoring wells
- **Injection rate: 0.5 – 1.1 Mton/ano**
- Commercial phase implies multiple injection wells

Injection intervals

- 1025–1065 m
- 1155–1205 m

- Injectivity test
- ▨ Core Interval (2 x 20 m)
- Packer

Project Timeline – from pilot to commercial




Clear decision gate for large-scale CCS deployment in Portugal



Commercial phase - a country scale solution


2031





2040



2045




MMV architecture



Cost structure of the pilot

COMPONENT	SUB-TOTAL (M€)
<i>CO₂ Conditioning</i>	4.6
<i>Train and Port Operations</i>	4.3
<i>Shipping and Operations</i>	14.4
<i>Subsea (connection ship to well)</i>	26.3
<i>Drilling & Completion</i>	31.5
<i>3D Seismic Acquisition</i>	13.8
<i>ABEX</i>	3.1
Total	98



- Well and offshore infrastructure main components.
- Part of costs reusable in a commercial phase.
- **The pilot is not designed to be profitable — it is designed to reduce risk.**



Safety and performance risks

- Low global risk, with no critical scenarios identified
- CO₂ plume remains confined in the reservoir, without reaching faults or abandoned boreholes
- Cap-rock maintains integrity under operating conditions
- Identified uncertainties can be mitigated with operational monitoring and control

Pilot implementation risks

MAIN CRITICAL RISKS

- Regulatory and permitting risks
- Political and institutional readiness,
- CO₂ supply and funding constraints,



Risk Matrix (Pilot Phase)

Very High	R21	R32	R36		
High			R18	R43	
Medium	R9p, R41	R10p, R19, R20, R30, R37, R39, R49	R31, R46	R23, R24, R42, R44, R45	
Low	R8p, R13, R25	R47	R14, R17, R40	R15	
Very Low	R2p, R5p, R11p, R16, R26, R38	R6p, R7p, R27, R29, R34, R35	R22, R48	R3p, R4p, R12, R28, R33	R1p
	Impact				
	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High

MAIN STRENGTHS

- **Scalable** offshore storage;
- Adapted to **regulatory constraints**;
- Intermodular transport - **flexibility**.

MAIN WEAKNESSES

- **High costs** due offshore setting;
- Maturity of **Direct injection from Ship**;
- **Regulatory issues**.

SWOT

MAIN OPPORTUNITIES

- **Technical and regulatory knowledge**;
- Support **hard-to-abate sectors**;
- Enables Carbon Neutrality in 2045

MAIN THREATS

- **Delays** from permitting;
- **Cost instability** for offshore;
- **No continuity** to commercial.



Key takeaways

- Portugal has identified a credible candidate site for offshore CO₂ storage.
- **A pilot project is necessary to kick-start CCS in Portugal while regulatory constraints for commercial scale are addressed.**
- The pilot should start in 2027 to allow commercial project in 2034. Move forward now!
- The pilot project is not a cost – need for to achieve **carbon neutrality** and to decarbonize **hard-to-abate** sectors.
- **The main constraints are now institutional, not technical:**
 - **political support**, maritime planning for CO₂ storage, subsurface governance, CO₂ transport regulations, legal clarity and inter-authority coordination.





Thank you for listening

info@pilotstrategy.eu

[@pilotstrategy](https://www.instagram.com/pilotstrategy)

www.pilotstrategy.eu



Assessing CO₂ Storage Potential in Western Macedonia, Greece

Brussels, 21 April 2026

Dr. Nikolaos Koukouzas (CERTH), Dr. Pavlos Tyrologou (CERTH), Ms. Christina Karatrantou (CERTH), Dr. Christos Stergiou (CERTH), Dr. Dimitrios Ktenas (HEREMA), Dr. George Makrodimitras (HEREMA), Dr. Konstantinos Tzimeas (HEREMA), Dr. Efthimios Tartaras (HEREMA)



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664

www.pilotstrategy.eu | 1

CCS and the European Climate Strategy

- CCS is essential for achieving EU climate neutrality targets
- Critical for decarbonizing hard-to-abate industrial sectors
- Europe requires new geological storage sites
- South-Eastern Europe remains underexplored




Why Western Macedonia

- Former lignite energy hub of Greece
- Rapid undergoing energy transition of the region
- Existing energy infrastructure and technical expertise
- Strategic location within emerging CCS networks in South-Eastern Europe
- Greece is actively advancing CCS deployment (e.g., Prinos CO₂ storage project and National CCS framework)

The Mesohellenic Trough



- Large molassic basin of the Hellenides
- Approx. 200 km long and 30–40 km wide
- Oligocene–Miocene sedimentary successions
- Potential reservoir–seal geological architecture



Simplified geological map of the Mesohellenic Trough (MHT), outlined with red dashed lines, and the broader geological framework[3]. 1 to 4: main formations (Fms) of the MHT, 1: Krania Fm (late Eocene), and 2: Eptachori Fm (Early Oligocene), 3: Pentalofo Fm (Late Oligocene-Early Miocene), 4: Tsotyli and Ondria Fms (Early-Middle Miocene), 5: Ptolemais basin (late Miocene-Pliocene, mp), 6: recent deposits. Abr. Ng: Neogene, Pz: Paleozoic, TJ: Triassic and Jurassic, V: Vourinos massif, S: synclines, A: anticlines (i.e., Af: Filippi anticline, At: Theopetra-Theotokos anticline), Bold lines and Fe, Fk, Ft: major tectonic contacts and faults; lines with black triangles: Tertiary back-thrusts or main reverse series; Dashed lines: normal faults (Ferriere et al., 2004)



Field mapping & remote sensing integration



Scope

Field campaigns (2021–2025) across nine regions in West Macedonia.

Geological mapping, structural logging, and sampling (>80 sites), following ISO 14689:2017 and BS 5390:2015+A1:2020

Highlights

Alternating sandstones, marls, and conglomerates forming effective reservoir-seal pairs

NE-dipping strata and Felli Fault Zone define main structural controls

120 rock & 38 water samples collected and registered in SESAR2

Remote sensing analysis



Sentinel-2 MSI imagery integrated with field observations

Remote sensing refined lithological boundaries of Tsoyli, Pentafos & Eptachori formations

Outcome

Field data confirm a multi-layered, structurally stable system suitable for CO2 storage

Results form the basis for lab analyses and geological modelling









Stratigraphic Framework

Key formations

- **Eptachori Formation** – sandstone-dominated units with reservoir potential
- **Pentalofos Formation** – thick turbiditic sandstones and conglomerates with reservoir potential
- **Tsotyli Formation** – marl-rich succession acting as regional seal

Key characteristics

- Oligocene–Miocene molassic basin
- Alternating sandstone–marl sequences
- Formation of multiple reservoir–seal pairs



Geochemical and mineralogical characterisation of reservoir formations

Water absorption

0–500 ml H₂O / 1000 g rock
Inverse correlation with dry density

Rock type response


Sandstones, limestones, ultramafics → low uptake (<70 ml/1000 g), stable
Marly–clayey sediments → higher uptake (150–500 ml/1000 g), locally unstable

Carbonate-rich rocks


Minimal absorption (0–45 ml / 1000 g)
Matrix fabric & clay content control water interaction

Regional behaviour

Most formations remain mechanically stable up to ~150 ml / 1000 g
Indicates well-cemented rock framework



Petrographic microphotographs illustrating mineral assemblages in representative sandstone samples. QFR diagram classifies the rocks as feldspathic litharenites (McBride, 1963)




Water absorption vs. stability after 24 h immersion: most samples remain Grade 1 stable even at ~100–150 ml/1000 g. Higher absorptions are mainly associated with marly or poorly consolidated materials showing reduced stability.

Mineralogical controls on reservoir stability

(Koukouzas et al., (2025) *Characterization of Sandstone Formations in the Mesohellenic Trough for CO₂ Storage: Insights from the Integration of Optical Mineralogy, Geochemistry, and Automated Imaging Techniques*)

Sandstones dominated by quartz, calcite and feldspars, with minor muscovite, chlorite and dolomite, forming well-cemented feldspathic litharenites derived from mixed siliciclastic–carbonate sources.

This mineralogical framework supports mechanical stability and favourable reservoir conditions for CO₂ storage.



Elemental mapping and automated image analysis of representative samples (Tso-1, Ept-2, Pent-3). Porosity values range between ~1.1–1.9%

Geomechanical constraints on CO₂ storage formations

Laboratory analysis indicate low porosity and permeability in several sedimentary units

Measured UCS values confirm increasing mechanical competence across stratigraphic sequence

Tsotyli ≈ 22 Mpa
Eptachori ≈ 35 MPa
Pentalofos ≈ 74 MPa

Fine-grained intervals within Eptachori, Pentalofos and Tsotyli formations may act as effective caprocks


Safe CO₂ storage requires injection pressures within geomechanical limits to prevent failure

Laboratory petrophysical and geomechanical analyses demonstrate that the formations of the Mesohellenic Basin combine mechanical strength with low permeability horizons, providing favourable conditions for safe long-term CO₂ storage


Sample	Average V. (GPa)	Standard deviation
TS	22	1.7
EP	35	5.0
PE	74	8.0


Correlation C5 - UCS


Laboratory-derived uniaxial compressive strength (UCS) values for representative formations of the Mesohellenic Basin, indicating increasing mechanical competence from Tsotyli to Pentalofos formations (Tyrologou et al., 2023)

Petrophysical Properties	Values	Sample code: EPT-2-3 WGS84 Lat : 40.1535, WGS84 Long : 21.0824
Porosity (%)	7.4	
Water Permeability (mD)	<0.01	
Formation Factor/m	123/1.46	
Clay bound water (fraction)	0.97	

Petrophysical Properties	Values	Sample code: PENT 3-2 WGS84 Lat : 40.1332 WGS84 Long : 21.1997
Porosity (%)	10.8	
Water Permeability (mD)	<0.01	
Formation Factor/m	46/1.72	
Clay bound water (fraction)	0.91	

Petrophysical Properties	Values	Sample code: PENT 3-3 WGS84 Lat : 40.1332 WGS84 Long : 21.1997
Porosity (%)	4.9	
Water Permeability (mD)	<0.01	
Formation Factor/m	157/1.68	
Clay bound water (fraction)	0.94	

Petrophysical Properties	Values	Sample code: PENT 3-1 WGS84 Lat : 40.1332 WGS84 Long : 21.1997
Porosity (%)	5.0	
Water Permeability (mD)	<0.01	
Formation Factor/m	112/1.58	
Clay bound water (fraction)	0.96	

Petrophysical Properties	Values	Sample code: TSO-1-3 WGS84 Lat : 40.3075 WGS84 Long : 21.3354
Porosity (%)	6.0	
Water Permeability (mD)	<0.01	
Formation Factor/m	273/1.99	
Clay bound water (fraction)	0.87	

Representative sandstone cores used for laboratory petrophysical and mechanical characterisation of potential CO₂ storage formations (Eptachori, Pentalofos, Tsotyli) (Tyrologou et al., 2023)



Geochemical investigation

Assess the geochemical stability of the system and identify natural gases behaviour to understand CO₂ storage potential.

Groundwater shows **chemical stability** and low reactivity

CH₄: up to 31 000 ppm

H₂: detected in Katakali

He: up to 1 400 ppm

δ¹³C–δ¹⁸O isotopes: near-equilibrium with carbonates


favourable for CO₂ storage.

deep migration through faults.

serpentinisation / organic origin

mantle or deep-crustal source.

minimal alteration under CO₂.




Active but stable deep-fluid system; no geochemical barriers to CO₂ injection and long-term containment.

Table 8.19- Targeted-Gas-chemical analysis as-a result-of-the-fourth-water-sampling-campaign

Spring-location	Katakali	Kivotos	Tropeouhos	Neos-Kalkasos	Katakali-Zi	Kivotos-Zi	Tropeouhos-Zi	Plank-(bottled-water)
He-(ppm)	-	43	-	10	-	44	1.4	-
H2-(ppm)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
O2-(%)	0.12	0.19	0.21	0.58	0.1	0.24	0.21	3.3
N2-(%)	4.07	19.08	22.56	8.45	6.08	20.04	22.47	19.86
CH4-(ppm)	300370	43000	81	7	312300	44100	81	2.7
CO [*] -(ppm)								19.5
CO2 [*] -(%)	2.33	1.67	2.4	49.9	2.44	1.73	2.49	0.94
Gas-volume-(cc-per-120-cc-of-sample)	7.4	6.2	6	10	7.6	5.8	5	5.6
He-(x10 ⁻⁴ -cc-L-1-STP)	0.0	27.9	0.0	9.8	0.0	27.0	0.9	0.0
H2-(cc-L-1-STP)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
O2-(cc-L-1-STP)	0.10	0.14	0.15	0.62	0.09	0.17	0.15	2.26
N2-(cc-L-1-STP)	4.19	17.63	20.44	10.65	6.37	17.81	20.36	17.29
CH4-(x10 ⁻³ -cc-L-1-STP)	14558.3	1833	4.0	3.3	15440.5	1903.3	4.04	0.1
CO-(x10 ⁻³ -cc-L-1-STP)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8
CO2-(cc-L-1-STP)	26.90	19.10	27.40	587.10	28.20	19.70	28.40	10.70
total-Alk	15.8	6.3	2.00	4.2	15.7	6.4	2.1	4.0
d13CTDC	13.9	-14.2	3.9	-1.2	14.4	-15.8	1.9	-11.2
d13CCH4	-69.5	-75.5	-	-	-69.8	-69.5	-	-
dDCH4	-251	-107	-	-	-230	-92	-	-

Tyrolougou, P., et al.,(2025) Investigative research for occurrences of hydrogen, helium, methane and carbon dioxide in West Macedonia: linking geological reservoirs to subsurface gas generation and migration.

Legacy Data & Modern Reprocessing Workflow



- **1980–1984:** ~623 km of 2D seismic (GR, L, AO) acquired using **dynamite sources**
- **1991–1994:** ~662 km of 2D seismic (GRV) acquired using **vibroseis technology**
- **1967: Neapolis-1 (NP-1) and Neapolis-2 (NP-2)**
- Well logs available (GR, Sonic, Resistivity)
- Penetrated **Tsotyli Fm (marls & sandstones)**
- **Reservoir not encountered at drilled depths**


➤ *These legacy datasets formed the foundation for modern reprocessing and updated basin-scale interpretation.*

Modern reprocessing workflow (PSTM-based)


Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Stage 5	Stage 6	
Geometry loading and Pre-Processing	Signal Processing and Denoise	Static Correction and Velocity Analysis	Demultiple and Interpolation	Stack and Post-Stack Time Migration	Kirchhoff PreSTM	Products Delivery
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓



Legacy Brute stack – Line GRV-818 (90s)




Final PreSTM with Phase Balancing – Line GRV-818 (New Processing)



➤ Significant improvement in reflector continuity and fault imaging

Seismic Interpretation & Storage Trapping Mechanisms



Interpreted seismic section illustrating key stratigraphic horizons, fault systems and trapping configurations across the basin. The inset shows the interpreted Top Eptachori Fm surface map derived from both re-processed datasets.

Key Insights

- Improved imaging of major horizons
- Fault systems controlling basin structure
- Identification of trapping configurations

Structural Traps

- Anticlinal structures (1–2.5 km width, <500 m relief)
- Mainly developed within S7–S4 sequences
- Locally combined with stratigraphic trapping

Fault-related Traps

- Frequent across the basin
- Typically small-scale, linked to normal faulting
- Control fluid migration pathways

Stratigraphic Traps


- Channelized turbidites and pinch-outs
- Laterally extensive and often stacked
- Commonly associated with structural elements



Geological conceptual model

Overview

- Integrated interpretation of stratigraphy, structural architecture and geochemical indicators defining the CO₂ storage system of the Mesohellenic Trough.
- The basin is organised in stacked reservoir–seal pairs controlled by lithology and regional tectonic structure.



Key elements

- **Reservoirs:** Eptachori & Pentalofos Fm – sandstones/conglomerates with measured porosity values up to ~10.8%. Laterally extensive stratigraphic bodies suitable for storage intervals.
- **Seal:** Tsotyli Fm – marl-rich, very low permeability, regionally continuous caprock units providing effective sealing potential.
- **Structural framework:** Gently dipping basin architecture with limited large-scale faults. Faults locally act as fluid migration pathways but also as structural traps.
- **Gases (CH₄, H₂, He):** confirm an active deep-fluid system.


Outcome

Multi-layered system with:

- reservoir–seal stratigraphic stacking
- defined structural control
- evidence of natural gas migration and potential trapping



Geologically favourable conditions for CO₂ storage in Mesohellenic Trough



Key Geological Uncertainties & Future investigation needs

Reservoir heterogeneity

- Lateral and vertical variability of sandstone bodies within the Eptachori and Pentalofof formations
- Influence on effective storage capacity and injectivity

Seal continuity & integrity


- Spatial variability of marl-rich sealing intervals of the Tsotyli formation
- Need to verify caprock thickness, continuity, and sealing capacity at depth

Fault-controlled fluid migration

- Faults may locally act as migration pathways
- Detailed assessment required to determine whether they function as barriers or conduits

Subsurface structural architecture

- Current interpretation relies partly on legacy seismic datasets
- Higher-resolution seismic data required to refine trap geometry and reservoir distribution



Reservoir pressure & injectivity

- Limited data on deep reservoir pressure conditions
- Additional studies required to evaluate CO₂ injectivity and pressure evolution during storage





Role of the Mesohellenic Trough in the European CCS landscape

- South-Eastern Europe remains underrepresented in geological CO₂ storage sites
- Greece is advancing CCS deployment, with the Prinos CO₂ storage project progressing towards implementation
- Western Macedonia could contribute to regional CCS capacity/ Western Macedonia offers a complementary onshore storage option to support a national CCS portfolio
- Former lignite region undergoing rapid decarbonisation
- Opportunity to transform existing energy infrastructure towards carbon management
- Potential to support industrial decarbonisation
- Strengthening the European CO₂ storage network
- Contribution to regional CO₂ storage capacity and cross-border CO₂ transport networks
- Overall, this work upgrades the Mesohellenic Basin from a screening-level candidate to an appraisal-ready CO₂ storage prospect


From Geological Knowledge to Climate Solutions

*Key
outcomes*

- Integrated geological investigations significantly improved the understanding of the Mesohellenic Trough basin system
- Stratigraphy, structural framework and geochemical indicators support the existence of a multi-layered reservoir–seal architecture / system
- The basin shows promising geological conditions for safe and effective CO₂ storage

*Pathway
forward*

1. Refinement of subsurface structural models
2. Improved assessment of reservoir properties and storage capacity
3. Development of a site-specific CO₂ storage concept





Acknowledgements



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664





Project impact and way forward in Upper Silesia - Poland

Project General Assembly, Brussels, April 2026

Krzysztof Stańczyk, Anna Śliwińska, Aleksandra Koterak,
Tomasz Urych, Aleksandra Strugała-Wilczek, Fernanda Veloso*,
GIG-PIB, *Cquestra






The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664

Presentation schema

- **Project impact - main findings:**
 - Technical results**
 - Social results**
- **Way forward in Upper Silesia**
 - Project Ładzice CO2**



Geographical location of Upper Silesia Coal Basin and potential emitters of CO₂



Structure of greenhouse gas emissions in Poland (2025)
Energy Transition in Poland 2025 Edition,
Energy Forum 2025

Upper Silesia, Poland


Location and storage potential of the region

Two possible storage places have been identified in the region in deep saline aquifers:

- Skoczów DSA - Upper Silesian Coal Basin,
- Ładzice DSA - Jurassic Czestochowa District.

Potential CO2 storage capacity of 0.1 Gt in DSA, about 50 mln tons in each region.

According to the planned Polish regulations, only in the region Ładzice the storage will be allowed.



WP2: Geo-characterisation of Jurassic Częstochowa District (Ładzice DSA)

1. REGIONAL GEOLOGY OF SEDIMENTARY BASINS






This place, about 80 km away from the main emitters, is treated as the second possible option for CO₂ storage in the Upper Silesia region. Reservoir formations are associated with water-saturated sediments of the Lower Jurassic and the lower stages of the Middle Jurassic. The aquifer is made up of sandstones with a fine- to coarse-grained structure, as well as sandstones of various grains.

2. WELL DATA ANALYSIS

Compilation of additional well data: lithologies in 10 boreholes, petrophysical data: porosity (effective), permeability. Works included preparing data regarding parameters of reservoir fluids such as properties of reservoir water, mineralization and others in order to provide inputs for reservoir modeling.

3. ELEMENTS OF GEOLOGICAL CONCEPTUAL MODELS


Development of structural surfaces in the area of the Jurassic Częstochowa District/Ładzice DSA reservoir including depth, thickness and structural framework of the selected area of reservoir deposits (maps of top and base of reservoir layers)




CONSTRUCTION OF GEOLOGICAL GRID

Jurassic Czestochowa District (Ładzice DSA)


- Fault model was built based on the results obtained from WP2 Geo-characterization including geological maps, cross-sections and other data.
- Location of part of faults are confirmed but part of faults are only hypothetical (supposed) but we implemented it for the purposes of uncertainty and risk analysis.
- Location, range and grid orientation were assumed. The dimensions of the model are as follows: the length is about 62 km and the width is about 24 km.
- Two potential areas have been identified,
- Selected only the area No. 1 (10 km x 19 km),
- Model of the storage complex zone (structural model, facies model),
- Petrophysical modelling (porosity, permeability).




Two potential storage areas indicated based on analysis of data availability



Fault and fracture model



Location, range and grid orientation of the model






The model of reservoir layers with the overburden and underburden of the reservoir



PROPERTY MODELLING

Jurassic Czestochowa District (Ładzice DSA)

- Facies model of the storage complex for selected area (reservoir and overburden with sealing layers)
- Petrophysical modelling (porosity, permeability) for the overburden and the reservoir layer
- Thickness of the reservoir layer: ~ 50 m (Lower Jurassic and the Lower Middle Jurassic - J1/J2 sandstones);
- porosity from 7.69 to 22.1%;
- permeability from 16 to 1478 mD.
- High values of permeability of **reservoir** are observed in two wells:
- Pagów IG-1 (837 mD, 1478 mD),
- Milianów IG-1 (931mD, 1230mD, 1320mD)





Petrophysical modelling

Jurassic Czestochowa District (Ładzice DSA)

In the case of Ładzice DSA there were observed lack of VShale (shale volume) data in log files (available only porosity and permeability). The created facies model was used as conditioning for the petrophysical model.



Modelling of porosity and permeability was performed using Sequential Gaussian Simulation (SGS) algorithm separately for individual sequences using the control procedure of the previously developed lithological model (petrophysical properties linked to lithofacies).

Porosity model



The results of petrophysical modeling of the reservoir layer with the overburden.

Permeability model



The results of petrophysical modeling of the reservoir layer.




Dynamic modelling and optimization

Jurassic Czestochowa District (Ładzice DSA)

This step of the work covers storage capacity assessment by simulation, based on well locations and flow rate optimization. The optimization should maximize the potential capacity by taking into account the uncertainties in properties of geological model.

The preliminary location of injection wells was selected manually based on properties of geological model, flow properties, pressure perturbation and operational constraints. Based on preliminary visual and statistical analysis, the following injection wells: IN-1A, IN-5A, IN-6 can be assumed as the pilot-scale injection wells with injection rate ~300 kt/year.

The primary objective of task 3.2 is to define the location for a pilot-scale injection well.



*Stochastic realization of permeability and porosity closest to P50 model of pore volume
- upscaled model with surface dimensions of 200 × 200 m (173,200 cells)*




Fig. Three potential locations for a pilot-scale injection well in the model





Well	Flow rate [sm ³ /day]	Flow rate [kt/year]	Injection time [years]	Gas injection volume [Mt]	Max. BHP [bar]
IN-1A	439 761	300	10	3	165
IN-5A	439 761	300	10	3	165
IN-6	439 761	300	10	3	165

Dynamic modelling and optimization

Jurassic Czestochowa District (Ładzice DSA) - Poland

- Analysis of flow rates

Preliminary location of three injection wells were selected based on properties of geological model and the results of preliminary numerical simulations. An increase in average pressure in the injection zone to acceptable values was observed. It was stated that based on preliminary analysis, three analyzed injection wells with a CO₂ injection rate approximately 300 kt/year for 10 years can be considered as the pilot-scale injection wells.



Assessment of the storage capacity by simulations

Jurassic Czeszochowa District (Ładzice DSA)



Optimization of well location based on analysis of flow responses to the CO₂ injection (plume migration & pressure perturbation)

- maximise the CO₂ injection rate taking into account the max increase of pressure;

Based on the fluid flow simulations, the output value of the CO₂ amount possible to be injected was estimated. The maximum value of flow rate for injection well IN-1A amounts to 1.25 Mt/year which give the maximum potential CO₂ storage capacity about 31.25 Mt within 25 years in optimal scenario of the geological model. In the case of the IN-5 and IN-6 wells, much lower values of injection rates and, consequently, low values of maximum potential storage capacity were observed.


Well name/ Model	Max. flow rate [Mt/yr]	Max. potential capacity [Mt] (injection time = 25 years)	Scenario	Remarks
IN-1A	1.16	29.00	pessimistic	Max. overpressure in the top of reservoir layer = 20%
	1.25	31.25	optimal	
	1.34	33.50	optimistic	

Results of simulations for CO₂ injection period of 25 years



WP6: Regional Stakeholder Committee workshops

- The 1st RSC workshops took place in Katowice (Upper Silesia, Poland) on the 5th of October 2023.
- The 2nd RSC workshops took place in Katowice (Upper Silesia, Poland) on the 14th of January 2026.
- Stakeholders represented various branches (industry, public administration, local authorities, policy makers, civil society organizations and scientific community).
 - Presentations about:
 - the Pilot STRATEGY project updates,
 - a short summary of the results regarding social acceptance and the survey results,
 - determining the CO₂ storage potential in the region – the current status of key research for planning the CCS pilot in Poland,
 - the current legal status of CC(U)S in Poland.
 - Discussion and workshops in subgroups.



The common goal:
Planning of a potential
CCS pilot in Upper
Silesia - DISCUSSION




WP6: Regional Stakeholder Committee workshops conclusions

1. **It is necessary to conduct a large-scale social campaign** aimed at providing residents with reliable information about CCS issues. The information should be specific and provided by reliable people who enjoy social trust and, due to their competences, may constitute an authority for people. At the moment, in Poland there are no authorities based on which public trust in CCS can be built.
2. Another **detailed survey of the inhabitants of the areas considered for CO2 storage after selecting specific locations.**
3. Regulation of legal issues and involvement of national and local authorities in the development of CCS technology.
4. Finding a source of financing for the project (planning and creation of the pilot; in the case of an industrial installation, it will probably be easier to find investors).
5. A comprehensive approach to the issue and the involvement of competent people at every stage of the project, starting from legislators through professional employees responsible for the technical side (geologists, designers, etc.).



WP7: Dissemination and Exploitation

- ❑ On December 14, 2023, a consultation meeting was held on proposed solutions for storing carbon dioxide in the rock mass. Organized on the initiative of the **Director of the Office of the Silesian Association of Municipalities and Districts (ŚZGiP)**. The meeting attracted great interest. Additionally, at the request of the organizers, the materials were forwarded to the **Geology, Mining and Ecology Committee** of ŚZGiP for further promotion.
- ❑ Preparation of a film in Polish informing about the project: [PilotSTRATEGY Project Overview - Polish \(Film z przeglądem projektu\) \(youtube.com\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=...)
- ❑ Evidence-based answers to region-specific frequently asked questions (FAQ): Poland: <https://pilotstrategy.eu/sites/default/files/inline-files/PilotSTRATEGY%20FAQs%20-%20Poland.pdf>
- ❑ 24 October 2024 r. International Webinar 3 - Upper Silesia, Poland: Activities, results, challenges. <https://pilotstrategy.eu/events/webinar-3-upper-silesia-poland-activities-results-challenges>
- ❑ 24 November 2025 r. International Webinar: COREu meets PilotSTRATEGY Geological Perspectives on CO2 Storage in Central Europe: Czech Republic and Poland



Legal conditions for the use of the technology in Poland

National regulations – amendment

- ✓ Work is underway on the so-called location regulation. This is a draft amendment to the regulation on areas where underground carbon dioxide storage complexes are permitted. The draft was published by the end of 2025 for consultation.
- ✓ This will amend a document drafted 11 years ago, which designated only the offshore area in the Baltic Sea (exploited hydrocarbon deposits and their surroundings – an area of 1,390 km²) for storage purposes. The new version will allow the creation of CO₂ storage sites in other locations, primarily onshore.



CCS way forward in Upper Silesia - Poland

- **Project Ładzice CO2**

Ładzice CO2 Pilot: Demonstrating Carbon Capture and Storage in Europe's Coal Heartland

Call: HORIZON-CL5-2026-03
(BATTERIES and ENERGY)
Topic: HORIZON-CL5-2026-03-D3-29
Type of Action: HORIZON-IA
(HORIZON Innovation Actions)
Proposal number: 101338095
Proposal acronym: LADZICE CO2

1 Cquestra B.V. Netherlands Coordinator
2 THE UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, UK, Partner
3 GLOWNY INSTYTUT GORNICTWA - PANSTWOWY
INSTYTUT BADAWCZY, Partner
4 FRAUNHOFER GESELLSCHAFT ZUR FORDERUNG
DER ANG, Germany, Partner



CCS way forward in Upper Silesia - Poland

- **Project Ładzice CO2** has three main objectives as following:

- Obj 1 “Unlock CO₂ storage capacity”: To complete storage site characterization and perform an injection test to increase readiness and confidence of Ładzice DSA. The storage site Ładzice DSA has an estimate CO₂ storage capacity of 100 Mt with Pańków-Milianów selected area contributing with 30Mt of CO₂ storage capacity. It is linked to CCS scenarios to decarbonize Upper Silesia emitters and negative emissions through BECCS.
- Obj 2 “Permitting ready”: Reinforce our cooperation with national competent authority to proceed with permitting and get authorizations on time to perform the geological work program and prepare the site for the commercial phase.
- Obj 3 “Increase Societal Readiness on CCS technology”: To involve local stakeholders in the decision making process, integrating their needs and concerns into both financial and non-financial KPIs, in order to secure societal support for operating a commercial-scale CO₂ storage site.



Main Findings, insights, challenges

- The main objective of the Upper Silesia Region in Poland was to prepare our region for the first carbon dioxide sequestration facility. To achieve this, **we had to identify suitable geological structures, confirm the storage potential, and model the static and dynamic sequestration process.** The primary goal was to explore how Carbon Capture and Storage can support a just energy transition in a traditionally industrial region, while contributing to wider European climate goals. The partners collaborated with regional authorities, industry, and experts to assess the local potential of CCS and incorporate it into regional development strategies.
- The key successes in Poland include close cooperation between public institutions and industry, active stakeholder engagement, and a better understanding of CCS as a practical climate solution. **The project provided concrete regional analyses and strengthened trust between the various actors involved in the transition.** Undoubtedly, determining the storage potential of Silesia region and the use of mathematical modelling, which allowed for an increase in storage potential, was an important achievement.



Main Findings, insights, challenges

- The PilotSTRATEGY project provides Upper Silesia with greater knowledge, potential, and strategic direction for CCS development. The work conducted by Polish partners as part of the PilotSTRATEGY project describes and characterizes saline aquifers in Silesia. **Local industries that are difficult to decarbonize, such as the cement and metallurgical industries, will benefit from this work in planning decarbonization strategies.** Another crucial element is knowledge on how to prepare and construct the installation in compliance with legal and environmental requirements. The work conducted by the Polish team supports a harmonized approach to decarbonization, strengthens cooperation between regions, and fosters coordinated progress towards climate neutrality in Europe.





Thank you for listening

info@pilotstrategy.eu

[@pilotstrategy](https://www.instagram.com/pilotstrategy)

www.pilotstrategy.eu



Acknowledgements



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664





Prinos CO₂ :
Connecting Carbon Storage
to
Hard-to-Abate European Industry

Dr. Pantelis Vogiatzis
Commercial Lead



***PilotSTRATEGY Final Event - "The way forward for CCS in Southern
and Eastern Europe"***
21 April 2026


ENEARTH
By ENERGEAN



Energean highlights

Resilient Performance. Disciplined Growth. Strict Cost Control.

Over 18 Years of Operating Experience Across The Mediterranean And The UK



KPI Highlights

\$1.77 Billion
2025 Total revenue and other income



\$1.12 Billion
2025 Adjusted EBITDAX



\$1.14 Billion
2025 Operating cash flow



c.\$20 Billion¹
Long-term gas contracts



1.18 Bnboe
YE 2025 2P reserves & 2C resources²




● Gas ● Liquids



LOCATION & SUBSURFACE



Where is Prinos CO₂ located?



Existing Offshore facilities



Existing Onshore facilities



In Prinos Data and Studies Have Confirmed Suitability for CO₂ Storage and a CO₂ storage permit has been awarded



The rock formations have defined porosity & permeability



There is a proven sealing caprock above the reservoir formation



The area of the reservoir can accommodate industrial emissions for several years






The reservoir depth allows for CO₂ storage in supercritical conditions



There are hyper-saline aquifers underlaying and overlying the oil fields within Prinos basin



Prinos basin is a tectonically stable area




THE NEW FACILITIES




Project overview

Onshore facilities layout

- The facility will receive, store, process and inject CO₂ into the Prinos field hydrocarbon reservoir for the purposes of CO₂ permanent storage.
- The Prinos CO₂ handling and storage facility will be designed to receive and process up to **2.8 million tonne/ year** (MTPA) of liquid CO₂ from remote emitters.
- CO₂ sources are expected to be shipped from remote sites via marine carriers and received at the Sigma marine terminal.
- **Medium pressure liquid CO₂** (MP LCO₂) will be temporarily stored at Sigma before being processed and exported (pumping and heating) from the new CO₂ handling Facilities to the new OMEGA wellhead platform via a new submerged pipeline.
- Water will be extracted from the reservoir to mitigate / slow the increase in the reservoir pressure as the CO₂ is injected.



Prinos CO₂ Storage Facilities




An aerial photograph of a dense forest. A semi-transparent grid pattern is overlaid on the lower-left portion of the image. The text 'COMMERCIAL & REGULATORY' is positioned in the upper-right area of the forest.

**COMMERCIAL &
REGULATORY**




Net Zero Will Require CO₂ Storage Solutions

At least 12 EU Commission actions (Proposals, Directives, Regulations, Decisions to promote CCS) [since 2-21](#)



Clients will sign long-term binding agreements for LCO₂ handling, injection and storage

Prinos CO₂ may also provide marine transportation services



Source: Company Information



Thank you!

The way forward for CCS in Southern and Eastern Europe

Event hosted by

Paulo Cunha – Member of the European Parliament

European Parliament

Brussels, April 21st, 2026



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664

Agenda

The way forward for CCS in Southern and Eastern Europe

- **Welcome**

Paulo Cunha – *Member of European Parliament*

- **PilotSTRATEGY outcomes and impacts**

Isaline Gravaud (*BRGM*)

Paula Canteli (*IGME-CSIC*)

Júlio Carneiro (*University of Évora*)

- **Panel discussion**

Chair: Aymeric Amand, *ZEP*

Panelists: Toby Lockwood, *Clean Air Task Force*

Elisabeth Dütschke, *Fraunhofer ISI*

Zsuzsanna Szeles, *European Commission/DG ENER*

Koen Coppenholle, *Cement Europe*





PilotSTRATEGY project – CO₂ Geological Storage Pilots in Strategic Territories

Isaline Gravaud – BRGM / French Geological Survey – Project coordinator

Paula Canteli – CSIC-IGME / Spanish Geological Survey

Júlio Carneiro – University of Evora (Portugal)

European Parliament

Brussels, April 21st, 2026



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664

www.pilotstrategy.eu | 3

General framing

- Support development of carbon capture and storage (CCS) in **Southern and Eastern Europe**
- Investigate **deep saline aquifers**: large capacity for storing CO₂.
- **Preparing the ground** for full and active development into operational storage sites.



The five-year PilotSTRATEGY project, which commenced in 2021, has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement n° 101022664.



PilotSTRATEGY



PilotSTRATEGY (H2020 RIA)

- 5 regions studied
- 16 partners (+ 3 FR third parties) from 7 countries
- 5 years from May 2021 to April 2026



Achievements

- **Increasing the maturity and readiness** assessment of aquifer storage resources in five European promising regions.
- **Detailed study** for CO₂ geological storage pilot sites in selected areas of interest.
- Identifying and engaging relevant **end users and societal stakeholders** for the implementation of new CO₂ storage pilots.
- Propose **development plans** for the CO₂ storage pilots.



Societal participation and engagement

Why this matters

- CCS deployment depends on **social acceptance** as well as geology and economics
- Public awareness of CCS is **low**
- **Acceptance is conditional**, not automatically positive or negative

What We Did

Tailored mixed-method engagement with 3,300+ citizens and stakeholders in five regions
Focus: How is CO₂ storage perceived, debated, and possibly accepted?

What policymakers should do

Social and technical feasibility must be developed **in parallel**

- Clarify the role for CCS in climate mitigation
- Provide regulatory certainty
- Enable fair implementation
- Fund engagement



Impact

- **5 pilot sites** with increased geological characterisation and development plans
- Unlocking CO₂ storage in **Southern and Eastern Europe**
- **Local storage** for local emissions
- Increased local, regional and national **public awareness and engagement**
- **Lessons learnt** and methodologies transferable for new projects




Paris Basin Region, France

Isaline Gravaud (BRGM)



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664

PilotSTRATEGY in France: Paris Basin



- Location:
 - Paris basin – largest sedimentary basin in France
 - Grandpuits area: agricultural region and industrial history
- Strategy:
 - Pilot project
 - Max 100 kt injected
- Objective:
 - Demonstrate CO₂ storage in France
 - Demonstrate onshore project
 - Explore deep saline aquifers
 - Explore potential for scale up





PilotSTRATEGY in France: Paris Basin

- **A good candidate for safe CO₂ storage pilot**
 - A detailed characterised geological site
 - Risk assessment and recommendations
 - Potential for scale up
 - **Pilot development plans**
 - Infrastructures design, monitoring plan, environmental assessment, economic evaluation
 - All elements for permit request
 - **CO₂ sourcing for pilot phase**
 - Industrial context changed with site closure
 - CO₂ purchase and transport by train (similar costs)
 - **Social acceptance**
 - Local community engagement activities
 - Increased local awareness for CO₂ storage
 - Disinformation activities by a local association
 - Lack of perceived local benefits since the industrial activity stopped. Importance of the origin of captured CO₂.
- **The Grandpuits site attracted interest from Dutch company C-Questra, which is applying for exploration permit in the area**



Developing domestic storage capacities in France

- **French national CCUS strategy**
 - Capture objectives in industry
 - 4-8 Mt CO₂/an by 2030; 12-20 Mt CO₂/an by 2040; 30-50 Mt CO₂/an by 2050
 - Storage sites
 - Short and medium term: **Export** to North Sea storages
 - Long term: develop **sovereign** capacities
- **CO₂ storage capacities in France**
 - To date, **no storage project** in France
 - EVASTOCO2 study in 2023-2024 (involving several FR PilotSTRATEGY partners) to **evaluate storage potential** in depleted fields and saline aquifers
 - **2 exploratory permit applications** under review (Grandpuits and another in Southern France)
 - French regulation: CO₂ storage is allowed on the territory, provided that EU Directive is respected
- **PilotSTRATEGY impact**
 - **Grandpuits site** identified in the French CCUS strategy
 - **Unlock CO₂ storage** in France, esp. in the Paris Basin
- **Challenges and needs**
 - Long **delays** in permit application reviews
 - No previous experience from the administration: **first of a kind** in France
 - **Few players** in storage deployment, lack of business case for pilot
 - Need for political support and funding for CO₂ **storage, not only capture**






Ebro Basin Region, Spain

Paula Canteli (IGME-CSIC)


21st April 2026, Brussels



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664



Peñas Royas, Teruel



Ebro Basin Region,
NE of Spain
Campo de Belchite, Zaragoza
Onshore CO₂ storage



SPAIN Onshore vs offshore


- Low populated areas
- Lack of opportunities
- Need of fixing population
- Identified onshore potential (national atlas/European atlas)
- Interest of local industry: storage for investing in⁶ capture/transport

PilotSTRATEGY

Walk the path and documented for future investors (European directive/national law)

May 2021

April 2026




- 2021-2024: increasing interest on CO2 storage
- 2024: Investment funds for offshore opportunity
- 2026: Awaiting- on hold interest in national storage sites!

Industry looking for options outside: external storage site penalty national industry!



Multicriteria Risk identification



No technical risks:

- Unstable political support (regional vs national)
- Delays or inability to obtain key permits
- Lack of internal support within the companies due to CCS competing with other business lines
- Lack of financial incentives or changes in CO₂ pricing
- Social polarization

Opportunity

- NZIA accelerated adoption by Spanish authorities



Risk matrix following Repsol methodology before and after response
 T35: Unstable political support and regulatory uncertainty
 T13: Unexpected compartmentalization



Lusitanian Basin, Portugal


CCS – an enabler for Portugal’s Carbon Neutrality and competitiveness

Portuguese team of PilotSTRATEGY



CCS is essential for Portugal

- Portugal aims to reach **Carbon Neutrality by 2045**.
- Hard-to-abate sectors → 5 to 6 Mt/year unavoidable emissions by 2050. **These are process-driven**.
- The phase-out of free ETS allowances will increase carbon-cost exposure for those hard-to-abate sectors.
- Trans-european CO₂ transport networks are important, but not enough – **Southern Europe needs local / regional solutions for CO₂ storage**
- **CCS is structurally required to reach net-zero and to ensure viability of national industry.**




Portugal has a plan for large-scale CCS

- A national-scale CCS pathway has been identified – commercial scale to start in 2035.
- Focus on hard-to-abate sectors.
- High potential for BECCS.

From 2035 to 2065	
Pipeline network	700 km
Storage	287 Mt
CO2 abated	227 Mt
Negative emissions (BECCS)	135 Mt


- **Demonstration of Storage capacity** was the weakest element.
- Main goal of PilotSTRATEGY - **design storage site in the Lusitanian Basin**



Offshore storage for scalability


Offshore storage enables the scale Portugal needs for CCS.

- Storage site 22 km offshore from **Figueira da Foz Port**.
- **Excellent reservoir and very low risk area**
- **Storage capacity > 93 Mt** in a single structure.
- Other sites nearby ensure the country storage needs.



Flexible transport enables early CCS deployment

- Start small < 100 kt injection from cement plant.
- **Intermodal transport:** cryogenic containers - rail and ship
- **Direct injection from ship:** address local concerns – no platform
- Transport blueprint for other regions / small scale emitters?
- Portugal can start the pilot **without locking into full-scale infrastructure.**
- **Scale up to pipelines in 2035.**



The timeline starts now!




The timeline to get to large-scale CCS deployment by 2034 requires the pilot phase to start now.

Regulatory issues are a major problem for scale up - time to start solving.



A European cohesion issue?

- Access to CO₂ storage is becoming a **European cohesion issue**.
- Equal opportunity across Europe – **industrial competitiveness**.
- Southern Europe needs **regional storage options**, not only access to distant hubs.
- Funding instruments should better **recognise first-of-a-kind storage characterisation** in regions without O&G legacy.
- **Regulations to CO₂ transport** and access to it, including non-pipeline transport
- Carbon removal frameworks are needed for BECCS – **key for net zero by 2045 in Portugal**



A clear policy signal is needed now

In Portugal, the main barrier is now **political and regulatory**.

- **Political authorities support** is crucial, and it should be signalled.
- **Stakes are high**: the 2045 target becomes much harder to reach; industrial competitiveness will face increasing pressure.
- **Regulatory hurdles** are identified and can be addressed.
- **Decisions are required** - the Pilot must start in 2027 to go commercial by 2035.



Key message

- Promising storage sites for CO₂ storage in 5 countries in Southern and Eastern Europe
- Potential to **decarbonize local / national industry**
- Need clear **political support**
- Need **funding** to move forward with storage development



The five-year PilotSTRATEGY project, which commenced in 2021, has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement n° 101022664.





Acknowledgements



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664



The way forward for CCS in Southern and Eastern Europe Panel discussion

Moderator: Aymeric Amand
Zero Emission Platform



Zsuzsanna Szeles
*European Commission
DG ENER*



Toby Lockwood
Clean Air Task Force



Elisabeth Dütschke
Fraunhofer ISI



Koen Coppenholle
Cement Europe

The way forward for CCS in Southern and Eastern Europe

Event hosted by

Paulo Cunha – Member of the European Parliament

European Parliament

Brussels, April 21st, 2026



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664



Acknowledgements



The PilotSTRATEGY project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 101022664





Thank you for listening

Isaline Gravaud
i.gravaud@brgm.fr

info@pilotstrategy.eu

[@pilotstrategy](https://www.instagram.com/pilotstrategy)

www.pilotstrategy.eu